Ten

164/

Appl. No. 09/944,816

Amdt. dated August 5, 2005

Reply to Restriction Requirement of July 5, 2005

Appl. No.

09/944,816

Applicant

Grate, et al.

Filed

08/31/2001

Title

FLOW CONTROLLED MAGNETIC PARTICLE

MANIPULATION

TC/A.U.

1641

Examiner

Do, Pensee

Docket No.

13129-E

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The PTO did not receive the following listed item(s) page 3-9

REPLY

Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement of July 5, 2005, the applicant elects to prosecute Group 2, claims 42-56, with traverse. The applicant notes that the examiner has not set forth a prima facie case for restriction, because in the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the examiner's restriction requirement, the examiner has admitted on the record that "the inventions listed as Groups I-III relate to a single general inventive concept ..."

Applicant has made an earnest attempt to place the above referenced application in condition for allowance and action toward that end is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any further observations or comments, she is invited to contact the undersigned for resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas E. McKinley, Jr.

Reg. No. 40,280

Appl. No. 09/944,816 Amdt. dated August 5, 2005 Reply to Restriction Requirement of July 5, 2005

PO Box 202 Richland, WA 99352 Voice (509) 628-0809 Fax (509) 628-2307

The undersigned hereby certifies that the forgoing Reply to Restriction Requirement of July 5, 2005, together with a return postcard, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to

Hugust 5, 2005

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on the date set forth below.

Douglas E. McKinley, Jr.

Reg. No. 40,280